



Jack Zinman
General Attorney

AT&T Services, Inc.
1401 I Street NW, Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20005
Phone 202 326-8911
Fax 202 408-8745

January 26, 2005

VIA ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW – Lobby Level
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Frontier Petition for Declaratory Ruling that USA Datanet Corp. Is Liable for Originating Interstate Access Charges When It Uses Feature Group A Dialing to Originate Long Distance Calls, WC Docket No. 05-276

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The due date for reply comments in response to the above-captioned petition was January 24, 2006. On that date, AT&T Inc. (AT&T) electronically filed reply comments on the petition through the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS). On January 25, 2006, ECFS staff informed AT&T that the electronic file containing AT&T's reply comments had been corrupted and suggested that AT&T resubmit its previously filed reply comments. AT&T did so on January 25, 2006.

To the extent the Commission deems AT&T's reply comments to be late-filed, AT&T respectfully requests that the Commission accept the reply comments in this docket pursuant to section 1.46 of its rules.¹ Acceptance of AT&T's reply comments will not prejudice any party because the reply comments were made publicly available only one day after reply comments filed by other parties. Moreover, because this proceeding is a permit-but-disclose proceeding, any party desiring to respond to AT&T's reply comments (or any other party's reply comments) can do so through the Commission's processes for making *ex parte* presentations.²

Pursuant to section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules, this letter is being filed electronically with the Commission.

Sincerely,

/s/
Jack Zinman

Cc: Jennifer McKee

¹ See 47 C.F.R. § 1.46 (motions for extension of time).

² See *Pleading Cycle Established for Frontier's Petition for Declaratory Ruling Regarding the Application of Access Charges to IP-Transported Calls*, WC Docket No. 05-276, Public Notice, DA 05-3165, at 3 (Dec. 9, 2005) ("This matter shall be treated as a 'permit-but-disclose proceeding' in accordance with the Commission's *ex parte* rules.").